

United States District Court  
For the Northern District of California

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

COBALT PARTNERS, LP, COBALT  
PARTNERS II, LP, COBALT OFFSHORE  
MASTER FUND, LP AND COBALT KC  
PARTNERS, LP,

Plaintiffs,

No. C 16-02263 WHA

v.

SUNEDISON, INC., AHMAD CHATILA, BRIAN  
WUEBBELS, MARTIN TRUONG, ALEJANDRO  
HERNANDEZ, EMMANUEL HERNANDEZ,  
ANTONIO R. ALVAREZ, PETER BLACKMORE,  
CLAYTON DALEY JR., GEORGANNE  
PROCTOR, STEVEN TESORIERE, JAMES B.  
WILLIAMS, RANDY H. ZWIRN, GOLDMAN,  
SACHS & CO., J.P. MORGAN SECURITIES LLC,  
MORGAN STANLEY & CO. LLC, MERRILL  
LYNCH, PIERCE, FENNER & SMITH  
INCORPORATED, DEUTSCHE BANK  
SECURITIES INC., MACQUARIE CAPITAL  
(USA), INC., MCS CAPITAL MARKETS LLC and  
DOES 1- 25, inclusive,

*Related Cases:*

No. 16-cv-02264-WHA  
No. 16-cv-02265-WHA  
No. 16-cv-02268-WHA  
No. 16-cv-04883-WHA

**REQUEST FOR RESPONSE  
REGARDING COORDINATION  
OF CASES**

Defendants.

AND RELATED CASES.

On August 26, 2016, an order denied motions to remand as to four of the related cases and certified an issue for interlocutory review under 28 U.S.C. 1292 (*see* Case No. 16-02265, Dkt. No. 73). Plaintiffs in *Cobalt*, *Glenview*, and *Omega* subsequently filed petitions for

**United States District Court**

For the Northern District of California

1 interlocutory review pursuant 28 U.S.C. 1292. Plaintiffs in the *Bloom* class action did not file a  
2 petition for interlocutory review.

3 On September 7, 2016, an order related a fifth action, *Bloom II* (Case No.  
4 16-cv-04883-WHA) to these actions.

5 This order requests responses from the parties regarding the following question: to what  
6 extent should the *Bloom* class action (Case No. C 16-02265 WHA) and the newly-related class  
7 action (Case No. 16-cv-04883-WHA), *Bloom II*, be stayed pending such time as our court of  
8 appeals acts upon the pending petitions for interlocutory review? **BY SEPTEMBER 13, 2016 AT**  
9 **NOON**, the parties may submit briefs of no more than five pages responding to this question.  
10 The Court asks the parties to consolidate briefs where appropriate and avoid submitting  
11 duplicative briefs.

12

13 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

14

15 Dated: September 9, 2016.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28



---

WILLIAM ALSUP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE